arXiv:1610.05053v1 [math.CO] 17 Oct 2016

Pach's selection theorem does not admit a topological extension

Imre Bárány* Roy Meshulam† Eran Nevo‡ Martin Tancer§

October 18, 2016

Abstract

Let $U_1, \dots, U_{d+1}$ be n-element sets in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and let $\langle u_1, \dots, u_{d+1} \rangle$ denote the convex hull of points $u_i \in U_i$ (for all i) which is a (possibly degenerate) simplex. Pach's selection theorem says that there are sets $Z_1 \subset U_1, \dots, Z_{d+1} \subset U_{d+1}$ and a point $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that each $|Z_i| \geq c_1(d)n$ and $u \in \langle z_1, \dots, z_{d+1} \rangle$ for every choice of $z_1 \in Z_1, \dots, z_{d+1} \in Z_{d+1}$. Here we show that this theorem does not admit a topological extension with linear size sets Z_i. However, there is a topological extension where each $|Z_i|$ is of order $(\log n)^{1/d}$.

1 Introduction

Let $U_1, \dots, U_{d+1}$ be n-element sets in $\mathbb{R}^d$, and let $\langle u_1, \dots, u_{d+1} \rangle$ denote the convex hull of points $u_i \in U_i$, $i = 1, \dots, d+1$. Pach's selection theorem, that we like to call a *homogeneous selection theorem* is about convex hulls of this type. It says the following.

Theorem 1.1 (Pach [11])**.** *Under the above conditions there are sets $Z_1 \subset U_1, \dots, Z_{d+1} \subset U_{d+1}$ and a point $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that each $|Z_i| \geq c_1(d)n$ and $u \in \langle z_1, \dots, z_{d+1} \rangle$ for every choice of $z_1 \in Z_1, \dots, z_{d+1} \in Z_{d+1}$ where $c_1(d) > 0$ is a constant depending on d only.*

This result was proved by Bárány, Füredi and Lovász [3] for $d = 2$ and by Pach [11] for general d. Here we show that this theorem does not admit a topological extension when the size of the Z_i is linear in n but does admit one when the sizes are of order $(\log n)^{1/d}$. Now we reformulate Theorem 1.1 and then we state the topological extension.

Set $N = (d+1)n$ and consider the $(N-1)$-dimensional simplex Δ_{N-1} and a partition of its vertex set into $d+1$ sets $V_1, \dots, V_{d+1}$ each of size n. Trivially, there is an affine map $f : \Delta_{N-1} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ that is a bijection between V_i and U_i for each i. In this setting the

*Rényi Institute, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, POB 127, 1364 Budapest, Hungary and Department of Mathematics, University College London, Gower Street, London, WC1E 6BT, UK. E-mail: barany@renyi.hu

†Department of Mathematics, Technion, Haifa 32000, Israel. E-mail: meshulam@math.technion.ac.il

‡Einstein Institute of Mathematics, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 91904, Israel. E-mail: nevo@math.huji.ac.il

§Department of Applied Mathematics, Charles University in Prague, Malostranské námešti 25, 118 00, Praha 1, Czech Republic. E-mail: tancer@kam.mff.cuni.cz

homogeneous selection theorem says that there are subsets $Z_i \subset V_i$ such that $|Z_i| \geq c_1(d)n$ and

$$\bigcap_{z_1 \in Z_1, \ldots, z_{d+1} \in Z_{d+1}} f(\langle z_1, \ldots, z_{d+1} \rangle) \neq \emptyset.$$

Assume now that f is not affine but only continuous. Viewing each V_i as a 0-dimensional complex, consider the join

$$(\Delta_{n-1}^{(0)})^{*(d+1)} = V_1 * \cdots * V_{d+1} = \{\sigma \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{d+1} V_i : |\sigma \cap V_i| \leq 1 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq d+1\},$$

which is a subcomplex of the d-skeleton of Δ_{N-1}. For a mapping $f : (\Delta_{n-1}^{(0)})^{*(d+1)} \to \mathbb{R}^d$, let $\tau(f)$ denote the maximal m such that there exist m-element subsets $Z_1 \subset V_1, \ldots, Z_{d+1} \subset V_{d+1}$ that satisfy

$$\bigcap_{z_1 \in Z_1, \ldots, z_{d+1} \in Z_{d+1}} f(\langle z_1, \ldots, z_{d+1} \rangle) \neq \emptyset.$$

Define the *topological Pach number* $\tau(d, n)$ to be the minimum of $\tau(f)$ as f ranges over all continuous maps from $(\Delta_{n-1}^{(0)})^{*(d+1)}$ to $\mathbb{R}^d$. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.2. *For $d \geq 1$ there exists a constant $c_2(d) = O(d)$ such that $\tau(d, n) \leq c_2(d)n^{1/d}$ for all $n \geq (2d)^d$.*

For a lower bound on $\tau(d, n)$ we only have the following:

Theorem 1.3. *For $d \geq 1$ there exists a constant $c_3(d) > 0$ such that $\tau(d, n) \geq c_3(d)(\log n)^{1/d}$ for all n.*

Motivation and background. Theorem 1.1 is a descendant of the following selection theorem.

Theorem 1.4 (First selection theorem)**.** *Let P be a set of n-points in general position in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then there is a point in at least $c_4(d)\binom{n}{d+1}$ d-simplices spanned by P.*

Theorem 1.4 was proved by Boros and Füredi [4] in the plane and it was generalized to arbitrary dimension by the first author [2]. Relatively recent extensive work of Gromov [8] implies a topological version of Theorem 1.4; see Theorem 4.1 for the precise statement of this extension. In addition, Gromov's approach yielded a significant improvement of the lower bound for the highest possible value of the constant $c_4(d)$ with which is Theorem 1.4 valid.

From this point of view, it is desirable to know whether there is a topological extension of Theorem 1.1 which could also possibly be quantitatively stronger with respect to the constant $c_1(d)$. However, Theorem 1.2 shows that in the case of this homogeneous selection theorem we would ask for too much.

Our proof of Theorem 1.2 partially builds on the approach from [12] where the homogeneous selection theorem was used to distinguish a geometric and a topological invariant.

Organization of the paper. In Section 2 we state Theorem 2.1 that describes a connection between $\tau(d,n)$ and the expansion of the bipartite graph of the atoms vs. coatoms in a graded lattice of rank $d+1$. This result is then used to prove Theorem 1.2. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is given in Section 3. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.3 by using results of Gromov [8] and Erdős [7].

2 Finite Lattices and Topological Pach Numbers

Let L be a finite graded lattice with a rank function $\mathrm{rk}(\cdot)$. Let $\widehat{0}$ and $\widehat{1}$ be the minimal and maximal elements of L. Assume that $\mathrm{rk}(\widehat{1}) = d+1$ and let

$$A = \{x \in L : \mathrm{rk}(x) = 1\} \quad , \quad C = \{x \in L : \mathrm{rk}(x) = d\}$$

be respectively the sets of atoms and coatoms of L. For $x \in L$ let

$$A_x = \{a \in A : a \leq x\} \quad , \quad C_x = \{c \in C : x \leq c\}.$$

Let G_L denote the bipartite graph on the vertex set $A \cup C$ with edges (a,c) iff $a \leq c$, for $a \in A$ and $c \in C$. For a set of atoms $Z \subset A$ let $\Gamma(Z) = \cup_{z \in Z} C_z$ be the neighborhood of Z.

The main ingredient of the proof of Theorem 1.2 is the following connection between $\tau(d,n)$ and the expansion of G_L.

Theorem 2.1. *Let L be a graded lattice of rank $d+1$ such that $|A| \geq n(d+1)$. Then $m = \tau(d,n)$ satisfies*

$$\min_{Z \subset A, |Z|=m} |\Gamma(Z)| \leq \frac{d}{d+1}\big(\max_{a \in A} |C_a| + |C|\big).$$

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is deferred to Section 3.

Proof of Theorem 1.2: Let $n \geq (2d)^d$. By Bertrand's postulate there exists a prime q such that

$$2d \leq \big((d+1)n\big)^{1/d} \leq q \leq 2\big((d+1)n\big)^{1/d}. \tag{1}$$

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite field of order q. Let $L = L(d+1,q)$ denote the lattice of linear subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_q^{d+1}$, ordered by containment. The sets of atoms and coatoms of L satisfy $|A| = |C| = N_d = \frac{q^{d+1}-1}{q-1}$ and $|C_a| = N_{d-1} = \frac{q^d-1}{q-1}$ for all $a \in A$. Two distinct coatoms, that is, two distinct d-dimensional subspaces intersect in a $(d-1)$-dimensional subspace which is above $N_{d-2} = \frac{q^{d-1}-1}{q-1}$ atoms. For a given $Z \subset A$ the sets C_z form an N_{d-1}-uniform hypergraph on vertex set $\Gamma(Z)$ with $|Z|$ edges, and any two edges intersect in a set of size N_{d-2}. In this case a result of Corrádi [5] (see also exercise 13.13 in [9] and Theorem 2.3(ii) in [1]) implies that

$$|\Gamma(Z)| \geq \frac{|Z|N_{d-1}^2}{N_{d-1} + (|Z|-1)N_{d-2}} = \frac{|Z|N_{d-1}^2}{q^{d-1} + |Z|N_{d-2}} \geq N_d - \frac{N_d^{1+\frac{1}{d}}}{|Z|}. \tag{2}$$

The last inequality here follows from a routine computation using the values of N_k. Next note that (1) implies that $|A| = N_d \geq q^d \geq (d+1)n$. Applying Theorem 2.1 together with (2) it follows that $m = \tau(d,n)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} N_d - \frac{N_d^{1+\frac{1}{d}}}{m} &\leq \min_{Z \subset A, |Z|=m} |\Gamma(Z)| \\ &\leq \frac{d}{d+1}\big(\max_{a\in A}|C_a| + |C|\big) \\ &= \frac{d}{d+1}(N_{d-1} + N_d). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Rearranging (3) and using $q \geq 2d$ and $q^d \leq 2^d(d+1)n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m \leq \frac{(d+1)N_d^{1+\frac{1}{d}}}{N_d - dN_{d-1}} &\leq 2(d+1)N_d^{\frac{1}{d}} \\ &\leq 2(d+1)\big((d+1)q^d\big)^{1/d} \\ &\leq 2(d+1)\big((d+1)(2^d(d+1)n)\big)^{1/d} \\ &= 4(d+1)\big((d+1)^2 n\big)^{1/d}. \end{aligned}$$

□

3 Continuous Maps of Finite Lattices

In this section we prove Theorem 2.1. We first recall some definitions. Let $\overline{L} = L - \{\widehat{0}, \widehat{1}\}$. The order complex $\Delta(\overline{L})$ is a simplicial complex on the vertex set $\overline{L}$ whose p-dimensional simplices are increasing chains $x_0 < \cdots < x_p$ in $\overline{L}$. For a subset $\sigma \subset L$ let $\vee\sigma = \vee_{x\in\sigma} x$. Let $\mathcal{A}(L)$ be the simplicial complex on the vertex set A whose simplices are all $\sigma \subset A$ such that $\vee\sigma < \widehat{1}$. For $x \in \overline{L}$ let $\overline{L}_{\leq x} = \{y \in \overline{L} : y \leq x\}$. The main ingredient in the proof of Theorem 2.1 is the following result.

Proposition 3.1. *There exists a continuous map $f : \mathcal{A}(L) \to \mathbb{R}^d$ such that for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$*

$$|\{c \in C : u \in f(\langle A_c\rangle)\}| \leq d \max_{a\in A}|C_a|. \tag{4}$$

We first note the following:

Claim 3.2. *There exists a continuous map $g : \mathcal{A}(L) \to \Delta(\overline{L})$ such that for all $x \in \overline{L}$*

$$g(\langle A_x\rangle) \subset \Delta(\overline{L}_{\leq x}).$$

Proof. We define g inductively on the k-skeleton $\mathcal{A}(L)^{(k)}$. On the vertices $a \in A$ of $\mathcal{A}(L)$ let $g(a) = a$. Let $k > 0$ and suppose g has been defined on $\mathcal{A}(L)^{(k-1)}$. Let $\sigma = \langle a_0, \ldots, a_k\rangle \in \mathcal{A}(L)^{(k)}$ and let $y = \vee\sigma < \widehat{1}$. For $0 \leq i \leq k$ let $\sigma_i = \langle a_0, \ldots, a_{i-1}, \widehat{a_i}, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_k\rangle$ be the i-th face of σ. Let $y_i = \vee\sigma_i$. Then g is defined on σ_i and by induction hypothesis

$$g(\sigma_i) \subset \Delta(\overline{L}_{\leq y_i}) \subset \Delta(\overline{L}_{\leq y}).$$

Being a cone, $\Delta(\overline{L}_{\leq y})$ is contractible and hence g can be continuously extended from $\partial\sigma$ to the whole of σ so that $g(\sigma) \subset \Delta(\overline{L}_{\leq y})$. □

Proof of Proposition 3.1: By a general position argument we choose a mapping $e : \overline{L} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ with the following property: For any pairwise disjoint $S_1, \ldots, S_{d+1} \subset \overline{L}$, if $|S_i| \leq d$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d+1$, then $\bigcap_{i=1}^{d+1} \operatorname{aff}\big(e(S_i)\big) = \emptyset$, which implies of course that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{d+1} \operatorname{relint} \operatorname{conv}\big(e(S_i)\big) = \emptyset. \tag{5}$$

Extend e by linearity to the whole of $\Delta(\overline{L})$ and let $f = e \circ g : \mathcal{A}(L) \to \mathbb{R}^d$ where g is the map from Claim 3.2. We claim that the map f satisfies (4). Let $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and let

$$T = \{\tau \in \Delta(\overline{L}) : u \in \operatorname{relint} e(\langle \tau \rangle)\}.$$

Choose a maximal pairwise disjoint subfamily $T' \subset T$. It follows by (5) that $|T'| \leq d$. For each $\tau' \in T'$ choose an atom $a(\tau') \in A$ such that

$$a(\tau') \leq \min \tau'. \tag{6}$$

Now let $c \in C$ be such that $u \in f(\langle A_c \rangle)$. Then there exists a $b \in g(\langle A_c \rangle) \subset \Delta(\overline{L}_{\leq c})$ such that $u = e(b)$. Let $\tau \in T$ be such that $b \in \operatorname{relint} \langle \tau \rangle$. Then

$$\tau \in \Delta(\overline{L}_{\leq c}). \tag{7}$$

By maximality of T' there exists a simplex $\tau' \in T'$ and a vertex $x \in \tau' \cap \tau$. It follows by (6) and (7) that $a(\tau') \leq x \leq c$, i.e. $c \in C_{a(\tau')}$. Therefore

$$|\{c \in C : u \in f(\langle A_c \rangle)\}| \leq \sum_{\tau' \in T'} |C_{a(\tau')}| \leq d \max_{a \in A} |C_a|.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.1: Let L be a lattice of rank $d+1$ whose set of atoms A satisfies $|A| \geq (d+1)n$. Let $V_1, \ldots, V_{d+1}$ be disjoint n-subsets of A. By Proposition 3.1 there exists a continuous map $f : \mathcal{A}(L) \to \mathbb{R}^d$ such that for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$|\{c \in C : u \in f(\langle A_c \rangle)\}| \leq d \max_{a \in A} |C_a|.$$

Let $m = \tau(d, n)$. Then there exist $Z_1 \subset V_1, \ldots, Z_{d+1} \subset V_{d+1}$ and a $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $|Z_i| \geq m$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d+1$ and

$$u \in \bigcap_{z_1 \in Z_1, \ldots, z_{d+1} \in Z_{d+1}} f(\langle z_1, \ldots, z_{d+1} \rangle).$$

Write

$$C(Z_1, \ldots, Z_{d+1}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{d+1} \{c \in C : A_c \cap Z_i \neq \emptyset\}.$$

If $c \in C(Z_1, \ldots, Z_{d+1})$ then there exist $z_1 \in Z_1, \ldots, z_{d+1} \in Z_{d+1}$ such that $z_i \leq c$ for all i and hence $u \in f(\langle z_1, \ldots, z_{d+1} \rangle) \subset f(\langle A_c \rangle)$. Hence by Proposition 3.1

$$|C(Z_1, \ldots, Z_{d+1})| \leq d \max_{a \in A} |C_a|. \tag{8}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} |C(Z_1,\ldots,Z_{d+1})| &= |C - \bigcup_{i=1}^{d+1}(C-\Gamma(Z_i))| \\ &\geq |C| - \sum_{i=1}^{d+1}(|C|-|\Gamma(Z_i)|) = \sum_{i=1}^{d+1}|\Gamma(Z_i)| - d|C| \\ &\geq (d+1)\min_{Z\subset A,|Z|=m}|\Gamma(Z)| - d|C|. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Theorem 2.1 now follows from (8) and (9).

□

4 The Lower Bound

Theorem 1.3 is a direct consequence of Gromov's topological overlap Theorem [8] combined with a result of Erdős on complete $(d+1)$-partite subhypergraphs in $(d+1)$-uniform dense hypergraphs [7]. We first recall these results. Let X be a finite d-dimensional pure simplicial complex. For $k \geq 0$, let $X^{(k)}$ denote the k-dimensional skeleton of X and let $X(k)$ be the family of k-dimensional faces of X, $f_k(X) = |X(k)|$. Define a positive weight function $w = w_X$ on the simplices of X as follows. For $\sigma \in X(k)$, let $c(\sigma) = |\{\eta \in X(d) : \sigma \subset \eta\}|$ and let

$$w(\sigma) = \frac{c(\sigma)}{\binom{d+1}{k+1} f_d(X)}.$$

Let $C^k(X)$ denote the space of $\mathbb{F}_2$-valued k-cochains of X with the coboundary map $d_k : C^k(X) \to C^{k+1}(X)$. As usual, the space of k-coboundaries is denoted by $d_{k-1}\big(C^{k-1}(X)\big) = B^k(X)$. For $\phi \in C^k(X)$, let $[\phi]$ denote the image of ϕ in $C^k(X)/B^k(X)$. Let

$$\|\phi\| = \sum_{\sigma\in X(k):\phi(\sigma)\neq 0} w(\sigma)$$

and

$$\|[\phi]\| = \min\{\|\phi + d_{k-1}\psi\| : \psi \in C^{k-1}(X)\}.$$

The *k-th coboundary expansion constant* of X is

$$h_k(X) = \min\left\{\frac{\|d_k\phi\|}{\|[\phi]\|} : \phi \in C^k(X) - B^k(X)\right\}.$$

Note that $h_k(X) = 0$ iff $\tilde{H}^k(X;\mathbb{F}_2) \neq 0$. One may regard $h_k(X)$ as a sort of distance between X and the family of complexes Y that satisfy $\tilde{H}^k(Y;\mathbb{F}_2) \neq 0$. Gromov's celebrated topological overlap result is the following:

Theorem 4.1 (Gromov [8])**.** *For any integer $d \geq 0$ and any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta = \delta(d,\epsilon) > 0$ such that if $h_k(X) \geq \epsilon$ for all $0 \leq k \leq d-1$, then for any continuous map $f : X \to \mathbb{R}^d$ there exists a point $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that*

$$|\{\sigma \in X(d) : u \in f(\sigma)\}| \geq \delta f_d(X).$$

We next describe a result of Erdős that generalizes the well known Erdős-Stone and Kővári-Sós-Turán theorems from graphs to hypergraphs.

Theorem 4.2 (Erdős [7]). *For any d and $c' > 0$ there exists a constant $c = c(d, c') > 0$ such that for any $(d+1)$-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{F}$ on N-element set V with at least $c'N^{d+1}$ hyperedges, there exists an $m \geq c(\log N)^{1/d}$ and disjoint m-element sets $Z_1, \ldots, Z_{d+1} \subset V$ such that $\{z_1, \ldots, z_{d+1}\} \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $z_1 \in Z_1, \ldots, z_{d+1} \in Z_{d+1}$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.3: Recall that $V_1, \ldots, V_{d+1}$ are disjoint n-element sets and let $V = V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_{d+1}$, $|V| = N = (d+1)n$. Let $X = V_1 * \ldots * V_{d+1}$ and let $f : X \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be a continuous map. It was shown by Gromov [8] (see also [6, 10]) that the expansion constants $h_i(X)$ are uniformly bounded away from zero. Concretely, it follows from Theorem 3.3 in [10] that $h_i(X) \geq \epsilon = 2^{-d}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. Let $\delta = \delta(d, 2^{-d})$. Then by Theorem 4.1 there exists a $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a family $\mathcal{F} \subset X(d)$ of cardinality

$$|\mathcal{F}| \geq \delta f_d(X) = \delta n^{d+1} = \delta(d+1)^{-(d+1)} N^{d+1}$$

such that $u \in f(\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}$. Writing $c' = \delta(d+1)^{-(d+1)}$ and $c_3(d) = c(d, c')$, it follows from Theorem 4.2 that there exists an $m \geq c_3(d)(\log N)^{1/d} \geq c_3(d)(\log n)^{1/d}$ and disjoint m-sets $Z_1, \cdots, , Z_{d+1} \subset V$ such that $u \in f(\langle z_1, \ldots, z_{d+1} \rangle)$ for all $z_1 \in Z_1, \ldots, z_{d+1} \in Z_{d+1}$. Clearly, there exist a permutation π on $\{1, \ldots, d+1\}$ such that $Z_{\pi(i)} \subset V_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d+1$.

□

5 Acknowledgment

This research was supported by ERC Advanced Research Grant no 267165 (DISCONV). Imre Bárány is partially supported by Hungarian National Research Grant K 111827. Roy Meshulam is partially supported by ISF and GIF grants, Eran Nevo by ISF grant 1695/15 and Martin Tancer by GAČR grant 16-01602Y.

References

[1] N. Alon, Eigenvalues, geometric expanders, sorting in rounds, and Ramsey theory, *Combinatorica* **6**(1986) 207-219.

[2] I. Bárány. A generalization of Carathéodorys theorem. *Discrete Math.*, **40**(1982) 141–152.

[3] I. Bárány, Z. Füredi and L. Lovász, On the number of halving planes, *Combinatorica*, **10**(1990) 175–183.

[4] E. Boros and Z. Füredi. The number of triangles covering the center of an n-set. *Geom. Dedicata*, **17(1)**(1984) 69–77.

[5] K. Corrádi, Problem at the Schweitzer Competition, *Mat. Lapok*, **20**(1969) 159–162.

[6] D. Dotterrer and M. Kahle, Coboundary expanders, *J. Topol. Anal.* **4**(2012) 499–514.

[7] P. Erdős, On extremal problems of graphs and generalized graphs, *Israel J. Math.* **2**(1964) 183–190.

[8] M. Gromov, Singularities, expanders and topology of maps. Part 2: From combinatorics to topology via algebraic isoperimetry, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **20**(2010) 416–526.

[9] L. Lovász, Combinatorial problems and exercises. Second edition. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1993.

[10] A. Lubotzky, R. Meshulam and S. Mozes, Expansion of building-like complexes, *Groups Geom. Dyn.* **10**(2016) 155–175.

[11] J. Pach, A Tverberg-type result on multicolored simplices, *Comput. Geom.: Theor. Appl.*, **10**(1998) 71–76.

[12] M. Tancer, Non-representability of finite projective planes by convex sets, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* **138.9**(2010) 3285–3291.